DATE: 11-14-2017

JFK Assassination System Identification Form

Date:

6/8/2015

Agency Information

AGENCY:

FBI

RECORD NUMBER: 124-90011-10001

RECORD SERIES:

WMFO

AGENCY FILE NUMBER:

ELSUR SEE TITLE

Document Information

ORIGINATOR:

FBI

FROM:

WMFO

TO: .

TITLE: [Restricted]

DATE:

01/03/1961

`PAGES:

SUBJECTS:

MOSS/MORGAN ELSUR

DOCUMENT TYPE:

PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT

CLASSIFICATION:

Secret

RESTRICTIONS:

1B; 1C

CURRENT STATUS:

Redact

DATE OF LAST REVIEW:

09/16/1997

OPENING CRITERIA:

INDEFINITE

COMMENTS:

SUMMARY;

v9.1

DocId:32323163 Page 1

1

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HENCER - LEVELISTIFIED 2004 - LEVE EHOWN OTBERWLIE SECRE

Washington, D. C. January 3, 1961. Tuesday

1-3-61

FR SUMMARY (WF-987-S*) (S)

9:33 am 3 - OG 28-28 CLAUDE LEBEL to JACQUES de BEAUMARCHAIS, French Foreign
Ministry, Paris briming to his attention the following
goof: A memorandum from the (Foreign) Minister's office (S)
has been received in New York by the French UN Delegation. (S)
The memorandum enclosed an envelope from the Elysee (Palace) (S)
addressed to the President of the U. S(S) New York. This,
LEBEL explains, is de GAULLE's Seasons Greetings to EISENHOWER (S)
and because of the goof has not been received at the Embassy's
until today. [LEBEL hotes that the mistake originally was
made by the Elysee (S) which thought that the President of the
U. S (S) lives at New York, but that does not excuse the
Ministry (of Foreign Affairs from forwarding it to New
York rather than Washington. [BEAUMARCHAIS will handle
it. (see 11:45 am, 12-31-60, FR16290) (French) (S HLD/hld)

11:03 am 9 - 0G 12-10

CLAUDE LEBEL'S secretary to the secretary of Mr. ERNESTO
THALMANN, Minister, Charge d'Affaires ad interim, Embassy
of Switzerlands saying that in response to THALMANN's request
to see LEBEL, THALMANN may come to see LEBEL how. (French) (5) HLD/hld

11:35 am 4 - OG Mme DUNCAN to Mrs. PHILIPPS, State Department, telling her that GUBATZK (chauffeur) has just called from the airport to report that he is at Customs and that someone has taken off the seal from a diplomatic bag which arrives every week containing newspapers. Mrs. PHILIPPS will call Customs to clear it, but she asks that Mme DUNAN send her a note on it.

Mme DUNCAN gives the ID (ph) number as 175558.

CLASSIFIED BY S668 SLD GOLDECLASSIFY ON: 25X (1)(6)

(Fig.) 5/12/97
(9/25/97)

SECRE

[FR-16297](s)

SEARCHED INDEXED OF SERIALIZED FRANK SERIALIZED FRANK S

SECRE

Washington, D. C. January 3, 19**61** Tuesday

1**-**3**-**61

(\$) FR SUMMARY - CONT'D (WF-987-S*) (S)

11:55 am 2 - IC. 41-121

EDWARD MORGAN (American Broadcasting Company) to CLAUDE LEBEL Sasking for a clarification of the French position (s) on the Laos situation and noting that even as late as last night, according to (New York) Times reports this morning, the British and the French on one side and (the U.S.) on the other differed as to the need to the need to reestablish the (International Control) Commission and also: on the fact that the French and the British up to now have taken the view that a neutralist government is the only thing to do whereas the Americans have taken the view that a pro-Western neutral (Government) is the base (upon which to build).

The question of the Commission, LEBEL replies, is something on which the French have no (extraordinary hard views) that is to say, (France) considers it a matter of procedure or diplomacy, but it has very little to do with what [France] (S) feels to be the real solution of the problem. LEBEL thinks he is right in saying that if everybody agreed to ICC as a matter of procedure (France) would not disagree; (France) is not pressing it, it is not (France's Freal preoccupation. But, LEBEL continues, it is absolutely true that (France) is in favor of a neutralist government, and it has been reaffirmed, /nathose terms but very close to it, in an AFP telegram of yesterday afternoon, which is quite visibly inspired at the Quai d'Orsay (French Ministry of Foreign Affairs). (S)

LEBEL offers to send a copy of this AFP telegram to MORGAN, but MORGAN declines because he has seen a dispatch in the Times which probably has the same information.

LEBEL does not think he can say much more at present except for one point: there have been a number of reports about the French position being that (France) favored a coalition government including the Pathet Lao (S) This is not, [LEBEL 6) explains, what the French position (emphasizing the past tense) was -- it was a coalition government including all tendencies except the Communists, but with an insistence on all except the Communists; that is to say, including those who were in quite friendly terms or neutral terms viz-a-viz the Communists. As to the French position today, the last LEBEL has is that it has not changed on that line, but with the changes in the political situation (in Laos) as it stands, this is an open question. (1)

(Continued)

SECRE) [FR-16298](S)

SECRET

Washington, D. C. January 3, 1961 Tuesday

1-3-61⁻

FR SUMMARY - CONT'D (WF-987-S*)

11:55 am Cont'd

EDWARD MORGAN and LEBEL Continued

MORGAN explains that he was confused by the Times dispatch from London which said that both (U. S. Ambassador) WHITNEY and (British Foreign Secretary) Lord HOME agreed that the revival of the (International Control) Commission was necessary. As he gathers from here, Washington has not taken that view yet. [LEBEL, in reply sobserves that since this is something which happened between others he is not the one to give a line on this but he thinks the truth is half-way between -- that is, there is agreement on principle, and perhaps there is not yet agreement on how to do it.

MORGAN asks what the French answer has been to the American argument that to have a coalition government would be too dangerous, that it would bleed the regime to weakness --MORGAN explains that he is referring to a seemingly illuminating dispatch which the Washington Post reprinted from the London Observer last Thursday in which it was said that many British feel that the Americans threw away a golden opportunity of restoring peace and political equiltibrium to Laos when they failed to support SOUVANNA PHOUMA.

That sentence, LEBEL replies could be transferred one hundred per cent to what they feel in Paris SOUVANNA PHOUMA was never really supported here; (the French) know the man's quite well, and if he had been (supported here) he would not have turned to the Left as he was obliged to do to reestablish his balance. In (France's) opinion, LEBEL continues; he (turned Left) too much, but the point is that he had to do it because he felt there was no support here it because hefelt there was no support here.

LEBEL emphasizes that everything he is saying is for back-ground (and not quotation). MORGAN accepts it as such

There was also, MORGAN continues, a report in the Washington Post by this rather good reporter, WARREN UNNA, in which he said that there had been a hassle between (the U.S.) Ambassador and CTA field people on the one hand and Washington CIA and the State Department on the other, that the field people were urging the support of PHOUMA but that they were overruled. Useless to say, [EBEL replies] if he were informed on that point he would consider himselfa jolly good diplomat, but he could not say that what he has seen and heard would urge him to disprove that story; he just does not know any damned thing about it. [5] (Continued)

SECRED IBROBE

Washington, D. C. January 3, 1961 Tuesday

(3) 1-3-61 FR SUMMARY - CONT'D (WF-987-S*) (3)

11:55 am Cont'd

EDWARD MORGAN and LEBEL - Continued

MORGAN thinks he can clarify this a little bit more here today; but it makessense, he observes.

MORGAN comments that he got the impression from BOB last night, when he saw him at the Embassy, that he took a very lugubrious view of the situation; but (MORGAN) gathers from the papers today that Paris and London still do not think in terms of the word "grave". No, for one reason, LEBEL replies that when one is dealing with Laos, one is dealing with Laotians, and what would go for other peoples cannot apply to an absolutely charming people where the notion of hate hardly exists; so that when you read that Communist forces have taken someplace, the news is not good, but it is not as bad when it applies to Laos as if it applied to someplace else.

The question which puzzles MORGAN is what to do from here (this point), referring specifically to this business of alerting the fleet and all that. LEBEL's personal opinion is that alerting the fleet is an excellent thing because two things may happen: either negotiation, and it is always better to negotiate with a fleet behind you; or the opposite, and then you need (the fleet). In any case, that is not bad in itself, LEBEL replies the problem is how one would start some form of negotiation, and to what end.

MORGAN gathers, from having talked with LEBEL and from some of these dispatches, that the revival of the (International Control) Commission is the first step toward getting some kind of a neutralist government. This is quite possible, LEBEL replies adding that he does not feel that he can commit himself or his Government because he is not quite clear as to the best possible procedural angle.

MORGAN, summing up, notes that the French objective is a neutralist Government without the Pathet Lao; but, he asks, is this realistic? A few days ago this was (the French) position, LEBEL replies but now he has an interrogation mark in his mind considering what has happened since. (English) HLD/hld

SECRE

FR-16300 **(5)**